

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,648	BRIVIO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven D. Maki	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) Meredith H. Schoenfeld.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
33, 40 and 47


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made courtesy call to inform applicant's representative that the after final amendment filed 8-4-06 is not being entered since adding --wherein the mould includes means for retaining the plurality of studs in the seats, and the retaining means is separated from the plurality of studs-- to claims 33, 40 and 47 is a new issue. Examiner commented that the serial number on page 1 of the after final amendment has been corrected. In particular, the serial number was changed from "10/660,648" to --10/600,648-- by a handwritten notation. .